Ben Adenbaum joint work with Sergi Elizalde

Dartmouth College

July 5, 2023

antichains



















## Type A root poset and Dyck paths

Let  $A^{n-1}$  denote the positive root poset of type  $A_{n-1}$ ; equivalently, the set of intervals  $\{[i, j] : 1 \le i \le j \le n-1\}$  ordered by inclusion.



## Type A root poset and Dyck paths

Let  $A^{n-1}$  denote the positive root poset of type  $A_{n-1}$ ; equivalently, the set of intervals  $\{[i, j] : 1 \le i \le j \le n-1\}$  ordered by inclusion.



The set of order ideals of  $A^{n-1}$  is in bijection with the set  $\mathcal{D}_n$  Dyck paths of semilength n.

# Type A root poset and Dyck paths

Let  $A^{n-1}$  denote the positive root poset of type  $A_{n-1}$ ; equivalently, the set of intervals  $\{[i, j] : 1 \le i \le j \le n-1\}$  ordered by inclusion.



The set of order ideals of  $A^{n-1}$  is in bijection with the set  $\mathcal{D}_n$  Dyck paths of semilength n.

We can view rowmotion on ideals of  $A^{n-1}$  as an operation  $\rho_{\mathcal{D}}: \mathcal{D}_n \to \mathcal{D}_n.$ 

# Rowmotion on Dyck paths



$$\mathcal{S}_n(321) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n : \pi \text{ is } 321\text{-avoiding}\}$$

$$S_n(321) = \{\pi \in S_n : \pi \text{ is } 321\text{-avoiding}\}$$

Example:



$$S_n(321) = \{\pi \in S_n : \pi \text{ is } 321\text{-avoiding}\}\$$

Example:



We say that  $(i, \pi(i))$  is an excedance if  $\pi(i) > i$ ,

$$S_n(321) = \{\pi \in S_n : \pi \text{ is } 321\text{-avoiding}\}\$$

Example:



We say that  $(i, \pi(i))$  is an excedance if  $\pi(i) > i$ , a fixed point if  $\pi(i) = i$ ,

$$S_n(321) = \{\pi \in S_n : \pi \text{ is } 321\text{-avoiding}\}$$

Example:



We say that  $(i, \pi(i))$  is an excedance if  $\pi(i) > i$ , a fixed point if  $\pi(i) = i$ , and a deficiency if  $\pi(i) < i$ .

$$S_n(321) = \{\pi \in S_n : \pi \text{ is } 321\text{-avoiding}\}$$

Example:



We say that  $(i, \pi(i))$  is an excedance if  $\pi(i) > i$ , a fixed point if  $\pi(i) = i$ , and a deficiency if  $\pi(i) < i$ .

Any  $\pi \in S_n(321)$  is uniquely determined by the positions and values of its excedances, which form an increasing subsequence.

Any  $\pi \in S_n(321)$  is uniquely determined by the positions and values of its excedances, which form an increasing subsequence. We can view the set of excedances of  $\pi$  as an antichain in  $A^{n-1}$ .



Any  $\pi \in S_n(321)$  is uniquely determined by the positions and values of its excedances, which form an increasing subsequence. We can view the set of excedances of  $\pi$  as an antichain in  $A^{n-1}$ .



Any  $\pi \in S_n(321)$  is uniquely determined by the positions and values of its excedances, which form an increasing subsequence. We can view the set of excedances of  $\pi$  as an antichain in  $A^{n-1}$ . Denote this bijection by

$$\mathsf{Exc}: \mathcal{S}_n(321) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathsf{A}^{n-1}).$$



Any  $\pi \in S_n(321)$  is uniquely determined by the positions and values of its excedances, which form an increasing subsequence. We can view the set of excedances of  $\pi$  as an antichain in  $A^{n-1}$ . Denote this bijection by

$$\mathsf{Exc}: \mathcal{S}_n(321) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathsf{A}^{n-1}).$$



 $\mathsf{Exc}(\pi) = \{[i, \pi(i) - 1] : (i, \pi(i)) \text{ is an excedance of } \pi\}$ 

$$\rho_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathsf{Exc}^{-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \mathsf{Exc} \,.$$

$$\rho_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathsf{Exc}^{-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \mathsf{Exc} \, .$$





$$\rho_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathsf{Exc}^{-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \mathsf{Exc} \,.$$



$$\rho_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathsf{Exc}^{-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \mathsf{Exc}.$$



$$\rho_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathsf{Exc}^{-1} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \mathsf{Exc} \,.$$



If we consider the antichains of  $A^{n-1}$  given by the deficiencies of  $\pi$  instead,  $Def(\pi) := Exc(\pi^{-1})$ , then  $\rho_S$  is equivalent to *inverse* rowmotion of these antichains:

If we consider the antichains of  $A^{n-1}$  given by the deficiencies of  $\pi$  instead,  $Def(\pi) := Exc(\pi^{-1})$ , then  $\rho_S$  is equivalent to *inverse* rowmotion of these antichains:



If we consider the antichains of  $A^{n-1}$  given by the deficiencies of  $\pi$  instead,  $Def(\pi) := Exc(\pi^{-1})$ , then  $\rho_S$  is equivalent to *inverse* rowmotion of these antichains:



Given a set S and a bijection  $\rho: S \to S$ , a statistic on S is *homomesic* under the action of  $\rho$  if its average on each orbit is constant.

Given a set S and a bijection  $\rho: S \to S$ , a statistic on S is *homomesic* under the action of  $\rho$  if its average on each orbit is constant. It is called *c-mesic* if its average over each orbit is *c*.

Given a set S and a bijection  $\rho: S \to S$ , a statistic on S is *homomesic* under the action of  $\rho$  if its average on each orbit is constant. It is called *c-mesic* if its average over each orbit is *c*.

For  $\pi \in S_n$ , let  $fp(\pi)$  be its number of fixed points.

Given a set S and a bijection  $\rho: S \to S$ , a statistic on S is *homomesic* under the action of  $\rho$  if its average on each orbit is constant. It is called *c-mesic* if its average over each orbit is *c*.

For  $\pi \in S_n$ , let  $fp(\pi)$  be its number of fixed points.

Theorem

The statistic fp is 1-mesic under the action of  $\rho_S$  on  $S_n(321)$ .
### Homomesy of fixed points

Given a set S and a bijection  $\rho: S \to S$ , a statistic on S is *homomesic* under the action of  $\rho$  if its average on each orbit is constant. It is called *c-mesic* if its average over each orbit is *c*.

For  $\pi \in S_n$ , let  $fp(\pi)$  be its number of fixed points.

#### Theorem

The statistic fp is 1-mesic under the action of  $\rho_S$  on  $S_n(321)$ .



The statistic fp does not correspond to a natural statistic on antichains.



### The statistics $h_i$

Hopkins and Joseph define the following family of statistics on antichains A of  $A^{n-1}$ :

$$h_i(A) = \sum_{j=1}^i \mathbb{1}_{[j,i]} + \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[i,j]}, \quad \text{where } \mathbb{1}_x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

### The statistics $h_i$

Hopkins and Joseph define the following family of statistics on antichains A of  $A^{n-1}$ :

$$h_i(A) = \sum_{j=1}^i \mathbb{1}_{[j,i]} + \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[i,j]}, \quad \text{where } \mathbb{1}_x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

In terms of the permutation  $\pi \in S_n(321)$  such that  $A = \text{Exc}(\pi)$ , this statistic is the number of crosses in the shaded region:



where the darker square in the corner is counted twice.

### The statistics $h_i$

Hopkins and Joseph define the following family of statistics on antichains A of  $A^{n-1}$ :

$$h_i(A) = \sum_{j=1}^i \mathbb{1}_{[j,i]} + \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[i,j]}, \quad \text{where } \mathbb{1}_x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

In terms of the permutation  $\pi \in S_n(321)$  such that  $A = \text{Exc}(\pi)$ , this statistic is the number of crosses in the shaded region:



where the darker square in the corner is counted twice.

Formally,

$$h_i(\pi) = \chi_{\pi^{-1}(i+1) < i+1} + \chi_{\pi(i) > i},$$

where  $\chi$  is the indicator function.

We can define a similar statistic on permutations that does not come from a natural statistic on antichains.

We can define a similar statistic on permutations that does not come from a natural statistic on antichains.

For  $\pi \in S_n$  and  $1 \le i \le n$ , let  $\ell_i(\pi)$  be the number of crosses in the shaded region:



We can define a similar statistic on permutations that does not come from a natural statistic on antichains.

For  $\pi \in S_n$  and  $1 \le i \le n$ , let  $\ell_i(\pi)$  be the number of crosses in the shaded region:



Formally,

$$\ell_i(\pi) = \chi_{\pi^{-1}(i) \le i} + \chi_{\pi(i) > i}.$$

## Some Homomesies

#### Theorem (Hopkins-Joseph '20)

The statistics  $h_i$  are 1-mesic under the action of  $\rho_A$  on  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$ .

#### Theorem (Hopkins-Joseph '20)

The statistics  $h_i$  are 1-mesic under the action of  $\rho_A$  on  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$ .

#### Corollary

The statistics  $h_i$  are 1-mesic under the action of  $\rho_S$  on  $S_n(321)$ .

#### Theorem (Hopkins–Joseph '20)

The statistics  $h_i$  are 1-mesic under the action of  $\rho_A$  on  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$ .

#### Corollary

The statistics  $h_i$  are 1-mesic under the action of  $\rho_S$  on  $S_n(321)$ .

#### Theorem

The statistics  $\ell_i$  are 1-mesic under the action of  $\rho_S$  on  $S_n(321)$ .

Using that  $h_i$  and  $\ell_i$  are 1-mesic, we get another proof that fp is 1-mesic as well, since

$$fp(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell_i(\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h_i(\pi).$$

Let  $sgn(\pi) = (-1)^{inv(\pi)}$  be the sign of a permutation  $\pi$ .

Let  $sgn(\pi) = (-1)^{inv(\pi)}$  be the sign of a permutation  $\pi$ .

# Theorem For all $\pi \in S_n(321)$ , $\operatorname{sgn}(\rho_S(\pi)) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ -\operatorname{sgn}(\pi) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$

Let  $sgn(\pi) = (-1)^{inv(\pi)}$  be the sign of a permutation  $\pi$ .

Theorem  
For all 
$$\pi \in S_n(321)$$
,  
 $\operatorname{sgn}(\rho_S(\pi)) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ -\operatorname{sgn}(\pi) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$ 

#### Corollary

For even n, the statistic sgn is 0-mesic under  $\rho_S$  on  $S_n(321)$ .

Let  $sgn(\pi) = (-1)^{inv(\pi)}$  be the sign of a permutation  $\pi$ .

Theorem  
For all 
$$\pi \in S_n(321)$$
,  
 $\operatorname{sgn}(\rho_S(\pi)) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ -\operatorname{sgn}(\pi) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$ 

#### Corollary

For even n, the statistic sgn is 0-mesic under  $\rho_S$  on  $S_n(321)$ .

Simion–Schmidt '85 showed that  $S_n(321)$  contains the same number of odd and even permutations, for even n.

Let  $sgn(\pi) = (-1)^{inv(\pi)}$  be the sign of a permutation  $\pi$ .

Theorem  
For all 
$$\pi \in S_n(321)$$
,  
 $\operatorname{sgn}(\rho_S(\pi)) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ -\operatorname{sgn}(\pi) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$ 

#### Corollary

For even n, the statistic sgn is 0-mesic under  $\rho_S$  on  $S_n(321)$ .

Simion–Schmidt '85 showed that  $S_n(321)$  contains the same number of odd and even permutations, for even *n*. Reifegerse '05 gave a bijective proof.

Let  $sgn(\pi) = (-1)^{inv(\pi)}$  be the sign of a permutation  $\pi$ .

Theorem  
For all 
$$\pi \in S_n(321)$$
,  
 $\operatorname{sgn}(\rho_S(\pi)) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ -\operatorname{sgn}(\pi) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$ 

#### Corollary

For even n, the statistic sgn is 0-mesic under  $\rho_S$  on  $S_n(321)$ .

Simion–Schmidt '85 showed that  $S_n(321)$  contains the same number of odd and even permutations, for even *n*. Reifegerse '05 gave a bijective proof. The map  $\pi \mapsto \rho_S(\pi)$  gives a new bijective proof. Let  $sgn(\pi) = (-1)^{inv(\pi)}$  be the sign of a permutation  $\pi$ .

Theorem  
For all 
$$\pi \in S_n(321)$$
,  
 $\operatorname{sgn}(\rho_S(\pi)) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ -\operatorname{sgn}(\pi) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$ 

#### Corollary

For even n, the statistic sgn is 0-mesic under  $\rho_S$  on  $S_n(321)$ .

Simion-Schmidt '85 showed that  $S_n(321)$  contains the same number of odd and even permutations, for even *n*. Reifegerse '05 gave a bijective proof. The map  $\pi \mapsto \rho_S(\pi)$  gives a new bijective proof. In fact, the map  $\pi \mapsto \rho_S(\pi^{-1})$  gives a sign-reversing involution.

Panyushev '09 defined an involution LK on  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$ , which is essentially equivalent to the Lalanne–Kreweras involution on  $\mathcal{D}_n$ .

Panyushev '09 defined an involution LK on  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$ , which is essentially equivalent to the Lalanne–Kreweras involution on  $\mathcal{D}_n$ .





Panyushev '09 defined an involution LK on  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$ , which is essentially equivalent to the Lalanne–Kreweras involution on  $\mathcal{D}_n$ .



Theorem (Hopkins–Joseph '20)

The number of antichains in  $A^{n-1}$  fixed by LK  $\circ \rho_A$  equals  $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ .

Panyushev '09 defined an involution LK on  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$ , which is essentially equivalent to the Lalanne–Kreweras involution on  $\mathcal{D}_n$ .



#### Theorem (Hopkins–Joseph '20)

The number of antichains in  $A^{n-1}$  fixed by LK  $\circ \rho_A$  equals  $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ .

Simpler proof: Via the map Exc, the involution  $LK \circ \rho_A$  on  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$  corresponds to the map  $\pi \mapsto \pi^{-1}$  on  $\mathcal{S}_n(321)$ .

Panyushev '09 defined an involution LK on  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$ , which is essentially equivalent to the Lalanne–Kreweras involution on  $\mathcal{D}_n$ .



#### Theorem (Hopkins–Joseph '20)

The number of antichains in  $A^{n-1}$  fixed by LK  $\circ \rho_{\mathcal{A}}$  equals  $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ .

Simpler proof: Via the map Exc, the involution LK  $\circ \rho_A$  on  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$  corresponds to the map  $\pi \mapsto \pi^{-1}$  on  $\mathcal{S}_n(321)$ . Thus,  $|\{A \in \mathcal{A}(A^{n-1}) : LK \circ \rho_A(A) = A\}|$  $= |\{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n(321) : \pi = \pi^{-1}\}|$ 

Panyushev '09 defined an involution LK on  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$ , which is essentially equivalent to the Lalanne–Kreweras involution on  $\mathcal{D}_n$ .



#### Theorem (Hopkins–Joseph '20)

The number of antichains in  $A^{n-1}$  fixed by LK  $\circ \rho_{\mathcal{A}}$  equals  $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ .

Simpler proof: Via the map Exc, the involution LK  $\circ \rho_A$  on  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$  corresponds to the map  $\pi \mapsto \pi^{-1}$  on  $\mathcal{S}_n(321)$ . Thus,  $|\{A \in \mathcal{A}(A^{n-1}) : LK \circ \rho_A(A) = A\}|$  $= |\{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n(321) : \pi = \pi^{-1}\}| = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor},$ 

using a classical result of Simion-Schmidt '85.

### Promotion

Recall Schützenberger's promotion on standard Young tableaux:



### Promotion

Recall Schützenberger's promotion on standard Young tableaux:



Define a rotation operation on Dyck paths:



Via the standard bijections, promotion translates to rotation on Dyck paths and on non-crossing matchings:



Via the standard bijections, promotion translates to rotation on Dyck paths and on non-crossing matchings:



# The Armstrong–Stump–Thomas bijection

#### Theorem (Armstrong–Stump–Thomas '13)

There is an equivariant bijection AST between  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$  under rowmotion, and  $\mathcal{N}_n$  (equivalently,  $\mathcal{D}_n$ ) under rotation.

### The Armstrong–Stump–Thomas bijection

#### Theorem (Armstrong–Stump–Thomas '13)

There is an equivariant bijection AST between  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$  under rowmotion, and  $\mathcal{N}_n$  (equivalently,  $\mathcal{D}_n$ ) under rotation.



### The Armstrong–Stump–Thomas bijection

#### Theorem (Armstrong–Stump–Thomas '13)

There is an equivariant bijection AST between  $\mathcal{A}(A^{n-1})$  under rowmotion, and  $\mathcal{N}_n$  (equivalently,  $\mathcal{D}_n$ ) under rotation.



The bijection AST has a complicated description, and it generalizes to other Weyl groups, with the correct formulation.

We can use 321-avoiding permutations to give a simple description of the AST bijection (in type A):



We can use 321-avoiding permutations to give a simple description of the AST bijection (in type A):



We can use 321-avoiding permutations to give a simple description of the AST bijection (in type A):

1 2 5

3



35124

We can use 321-avoiding permutations to give a simple description of the AST bijection (in type A):



We can use 321-avoiding permutations to give a simple description of the AST bijection (in type A):


We can use 321-avoiding permutations to give a simple description of the AST bijection (in type A):



#### Theorem

 $\mathsf{AST} = \psi \circ \mathsf{RSK} \circ \mathsf{Exc}^{-1}$ 







THANK YOU!