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## Goal of the talk

The Baxter numbers are defined by $\operatorname{Bax}_{n}=\frac{2}{n(n+1)^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n+1}{k-1}\binom{n+1}{k}\binom{n+1}{k+1}$.
They are known to enumerate many families of discrete objects, including

- Baxter permutations $\operatorname{Av}(2 \underline{41} 3,3 \underline{14} 2)$
[Chung, Graham, Hoggatt, Kleiman, 1978; Bousquet-Mélou, 2002]
- Twisted-Baxter permutations $\operatorname{Av}(2 \underline{41} 3,3 \underline{41} 2)$
[Reading, 2005; West, 2006]
- Mosaic floorplans
[Yao, Chen, Cheng, Graham, 2003; Ackerman, Barequet, Pinter, 2006]
- Triples of non-intersecting lattice paths
[Dulucq, Guibert, 1998; among others]
We give bijections from Baxter tree-like tableaux (new objects) to twisted-Baxter permutations, mosaic floorplans and triples of non-intersecting lattice paths.


## Tree-like Tableaux and Baxter Tree-like Tableaux

## Tree-like tableaux (TLTs): definition

A tree-like tableau (TLT) is a Ferrers diagram where cells are either empty or pointed (=occupied by a point), and such that:

- every column and every row contains at least one pointed cell;
- the top leftmost cell of the diagram is occupied by a point, called the root point;
- for every non-root pointed cell $c$, there exists a pointed cell $p$ either above $c$ in the same column, or to its left in the same row, but not both; $p$ is called the parent of $c$ in the TLT.
The size is the number of points.

Examples:


## Some facts about TLTs

- In size-preserving bijection with permutations (via a labeling of the points which we shall present shortly)
- TLTs carry an underlying tree structure, induced by the parent/child relation.
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## Labeling the points of a TLT

- The special point of a TLT is the rightmost among the points that are in the bottommost cell of their column.
- If the special point has a (necessarily empty) neighboring cell on its right, then a ribbon is associated to it.
- The ribbon of such a special point is the maximal set of cells along the southeast border that is connected, does not contain any $2 \times 2$ square, and consists only of empty cells.

- Inductive labeling of the points:

In a TLT of size $n$, the special point receives the label $n$, and the other points are labeled as in the smaller TLT obtained removing the special point, its empty row or column, and its ribbon (when there is one).

## Labeling the points of a TLT: example



$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}=\square .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extending the labeling and bjection with permutations

We can propagate the labeling of the points of a TLT to its empty cells according to local rules. For a cell $c$ as in

| $x$ | $y$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $z$ | $\uparrow$ |

- if there is a point above $c$ and a point to its left (i.e. if $c$ is a crossing), then $c$ receives the label $x$;
- if there is a point above $c$ but none to its left, then $c$ receives the label $y$;
- if there is a point to the left of $c$ but none above it, then $c$ receives the label $z$;
- if there are no points above nor to the left of $c$, then $c$ receives the label $x=y=z$.


## Extending the labeling and bjection with permutations

We can propagate the labeling of the points of a TLT to its empty cells according to local rules. For a cell $c$ as in


- if there is a point above $c$ and a point to its left (i.e. if $c$ is a crossing), then $c$ receives the label $x$;
- if there is a point above $c$ but none to its left, then $c$ receives the label $y$;
- if there is a point to the left of $c$ but none above it, then $c$ receives the label $z$;
- if there are no points above nor to the left of $c$, then $c$ receives the label $x=y=z$.

The permutation $\varphi_{\text {perm }}(T)$ is read along the southeast border of the TLT $T$. This is a bijection. [Aval, Boussicault, Nadeau, 2013]

## Avoiding patterns: Baxter TLTs

A Baxter TLT is a TLT which avoids the patterns $\quad \because$ and (where can be either an empty or a pointed cell).

Equivalently, a Baxter TLT is a TLT with no point below or to the right of a crossing.

## Examples:


is a Baxter TLT

is not a Baxter TLT.

## Avoiding patterns: Baxter TLTs

A Baxter TLT is a TLT which avoids the patterns $\quad \circ$ and (where • can be either an empty or a pointed cell).

Equivalently, a Baxter TLT is a TLT with no point below or to the right of a crossing.

Examples:

is a Baxter TLT

is not a Baxter TLT.

Next: bijections from Baxter TLTs to

- twisted-Baxter permutations
- mosaic floorplans
- triples of non-intersecting lattice paths
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## Baxter family of permutations in bijection with Baxter TLT
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Theorem: The bijection $\varphi_{\text {perm }}$ bijectively sends Baxter TLTs to inverses of twisted-Baxter permutations.

Key lemma for this proof: The crossings of a TLT T correspond to occurrences of $2^{+} 12=$
 in $\varphi_{\text {perm }}(T)$.
Hence, a point below or to the right of a crossing corresponds to an occurrence of $2^{+} 132$ or $2^{+} 312$.

## Bijection to mosaic floorplans

## Mosaic floorplans

- A floorplan is a partition of a rectangle into rectangles, such that any two intersecting segments form a $\perp, \top$, $\vdash$ or $\dashv$ (but never + ).
- Two floorplans are $R$-equivalent if one can pass from one to the other by sliding the segments to adjust the sizes of the rectangles.
- A mosaic floorplan is an equivalence class of floorplans under $R$.

Example, from [Ackerman, Barequet, Pinter, 2006]:

Two $R$-equivalent floorplans:


They represent the same mosaic floorplan.
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They represent the same mosaic floorplan.
Mosaic floorplans are counted by Baxter numbers.
[Yao, Chen, Cheng, Graham, 2003; Ackerman, Barequet, Pinter, 2006]

## Representatives as packed floorplans (PFP)

A packed floorplan (PFP) is a floorplan

- whose rectangular bounding box has integer coordinates
- every line of integer coordinate inside this bounding box is the support of exactly one segment,
- the pattern ${ }^{\lrcorner} \Gamma$ is avoided.


## Examples:

Some packed floorplans:


These are not packed floorplans:


Proposition: Every mosaic floorplan has exactly one representative as a packed floorplan.

## The bijection, from Baxter TLTs to PFPs

Let $T$ be a Baxter TLT of size $n$.
Consider the inductive labeling of its points explained before.
We build a PFP $\varphi_{P F P}(T)$ as follows.

- Use as bounding box the smallest rectangle containing $T$, called $R$.
- For each $i$ from $n$ to 1 , draw a rectangle inside $R$, whose top-left corner is the point of $T$ labeled by $i$, and which is the largest possible (without stepping on the rectangles already placed).
Example:
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## Example:



Theorem: $\varphi_{\text {PFP }}$ is a size-preserving bijection between TLTs and PFPs (where the size of a PFP is its number of rectangles).

## Bijection to <br> triples of non-intersecting lattice paths

## Binary trees and pairs of non-intersecting lattice paths

A pair of non-intersecting lattice paths (NILPs) is a pair of lattice paths with unitary $N$ and $E$ steps, which never meet, starting at $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ and ending at $(n-i, i)$ and $(n-i-1, i+1)$ for some $i \in[0 . .(n-1)]$.

From a (complete) binary tree, we can build two words $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ by performing a depth-first traversal and writing:

- an $N$ (resp. $E$ ) in $w_{1}$ for each internal left (resp. right) edge;
- an $E$ (resp. $N$ ) in $w_{2}$ for each left (resp. right) leaf (and then forgetting the initial $E$ and the final $N$ is $w_{2}$ ).
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Proposition: The above construction is a bijection between (complete) binary trees and pairs of NILPs. [Delest, Viennot, 1984; Dulucq, Guibert, 1998]

## Extension to Baxter TLTs

With a Baxter TLT T of size $n$, we associate 3 words $w_{1}, w_{2}$ and $w_{3}$, each in $\{N, E\}^{n-1}$, as follows:

- $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ as before, from the (completed) binary tree underlying $T$;
- $w_{3}$ is the word describing the southeast border of $T$ (up to forgetting the initial $E$ and the final $N$ ).
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Example:


Theorem: The above construction is a bijection between Baxter TLTs and triples of NILPs.
Key lemma: In a Baxter TLT $T, w_{2}$ also describes the southeast border of the thinnest Ferrers shape containing the points of $T$.

Final remarks

## We can do a little more

- With NILPs, we can use the Lindström-Gessel-Viennot lemma to obtained enumeration of our objects according to some parameters. Example: The number of twisted-Baxter permutations of size $n$, with $k$ ascents and $r$ left-to-right minima is $\sum_{p, q, s} \operatorname{LGV}(n, k, r, p, s, q)$, with

$$
\operatorname{LGV}(n, k, r, p, s, q)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\binom{n-1-r-p}{k-p} & \binom{n-1-p}{k-p} & \left(\begin{array}{c}
n-1-s-p \\
k-s-p \\
n-1-r
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
k \\
n-1 \\
k
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{c}
k-q \\
k-1-s \\
k
\end{array}\right) & \binom{n-1-q}{k} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
n-s \\
n-1-s-q \\
k-s
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right| .
$$
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$$
\operatorname{LGV}(n, k, r, p, s, q)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\binom{n-1-r-p}{k-p} & \binom{n-1-p}{k-p} & \left(\begin{array}{c}
n-1-s-p \\
k-s-p \\
n-1-r
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\end{array}\right| .
$$

- There is an interesting restriction of our bijections where
- Baxter TLTs are "almost complete",
- permutations are alternating starting with an ascent,
- PFPs have all their rectangles touching the main diagonal,
- and triples NILPs are such that $w_{2}=$ ENENENE $\ldots$ ENE.


## An intriguing enumerative coincidence

Proposition: Denoting $\left(C_{n}\right)$ the Catalan numbers, it holds that for any $n$, there are $C_{n}^{2}$ (resp. $C_{n} \cdot C_{n+1}$ ) permutations of size $2 n$ (resp. $2 n+1$ ) which avoid the patterns $2^{+} 132$ and $2^{+} 312$ and are alternating starting with an ascent.

This follows from the previous restriction, through the chain of bijections: permutations $\leftrightarrow$ Baxter TLTs $\leftrightarrow$ NILPs.

Question: Can we provide a direct proof of this proposition?
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Conjecture: $\sigma \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{\text {odd }}, \sigma_{\text {even }}\right)$ is a bijection proving the above proposition.
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Thank you!

