Efficient algorithms for generating pattern-avoiding combinatorial objects

Torsten Mütze University of Warwick + Charles University Prague

joint work with Petr Gregor (Charles University), Elizabeth Hartung (MCLA), Hung P. Hoang (ETH Zurich), Arturo Merino (TU Berlin), Namrata (University of Warwick), Aaron Williams (Williams College)



Permutation Patterns 2023

• many different classes of combinatorial objects



binary trees

• many different classes of combinatorial objects



• many different classes of combinatorial objects



• many different classes of combinatorial objects



• many different classes of combinatorial objects



 fundamental tasks: counting, sampling, optimization

• many different classes of combinatorial objects



fundamental tasks:
 counting, sampling, optimization
 + exhaustive generation [Knuth TAOCP Vol. 4A]



• Goal: generate all objects of a combinatorial class efficiently

- Goal: generate all objects of a combinatorial class efficiently
- ultimately: each new object in **constant time**

- Goal: generate all objects of a combinatorial class efficiently
- ultimately: each new object in **constant time**
- consecutive objects differ by 'small amount' \rightarrow Gray code

- Goal: generate all objects of a combinatorial class efficiently
- ultimately: each new object in **constant time**
- consecutive objects differ by 'small amount' \rightarrow Gray code
- Examples:
 - binary trees by rotations [Lucas, Roelants van Baronaigien, Ruskey 93]

- Goal: generate all objects of a combinatorial class efficiently
- ultimately: each new object in **constant time**
- consecutive objects differ by 'small amount' \rightarrow Gray code

• Examples:

- binary trees by rotations [Lucas, Roelants van Baronaigien, Ruskey 93]
- permutations by adjacent transpositions
 (Steinhaus-Johnson-Trotter algorithm) [Johnson 64], [Trotter 62]

- Goal: generate all objects of a combinatorial class efficiently
- ultimately: each new object in **constant time**
- consecutive objects differ by 'small amount' \rightarrow Gray code

• Examples:

- binary trees by rotations [Lucas, Roelants van Baronaigien, Ruskey 93]
- permutations by adjacent transpositions
 (Steinhaus-Johnson-Trotter algorithm) [Johnson 64], [Trotter 62]
- bitstrings by bitflips (Binary reflected Gray code) [Gray 53]

- Goal: generate all objects of a combinatorial class efficiently
- ultimately: each new object in **constant time**
- consecutive objects differ by 'small amount' \rightarrow Gray code

• Examples:

- binary trees by rotations [Lucas, Roelants van Baronaigien, Ruskey 93]
- permutations by adjacent transpositions
 (Steinhaus-Johnson-Trotter algorithm) [Johnson 64], [Trotter 62]
- bitstrings by bitflips (Binary reflected Gray code) [Gray 53]
- set partitions by element exchanges [Kaye 76]

• Flip graph: vertices are combinatorial objects, edges capture change operations

• Flip graph: vertices are combinatorial objects, edges capture change operations



Flip graph: vertices are combinatorial objects, edges capture change operations



• Flip graph: vertices are combinatorial objects, edges capture change operations



 Flip graph: vertices are combinatorial objects, edges capture change operations



• many flip graphs can be equipped with a poset structure and realized as polytopes

 Flip graph: vertices are combinatorial objects, edges capture change operations



 many flip graphs can be equipped with a poset structure and realized as polytopes

 Flip graph: vertices are combinatorial objects, edges capture change operations



- many flip graphs can be equipped with a poset structure and realized as polytopes
- exhaustive generation → Hamilton path (HP)/cycle (HC)

• Flip graph: vertices are combinatorial objects, edges capture change operations



- many flip graphs can be equipped with a poset structure and realized as polytopes
- exhaustive generation → Hamilton path (HP)/cycle (HC)

• many tailormade algorithms, few general approaches

[Avis, Fukuda 96], [Barcucci et al. 99], [Li, Sawada 09], [Ruskey, Sawada, Williams 12], [Williams 13]

• many tailormade algorithms, few general approaches

[Avis, Fukuda 96], [Barcucci et al. 99], [Li, Sawada 09], [Ruskey, Sawada, Williams 12], [Williams 13]

• cf. generating functions for counting

• many tailormade algorithms, few general approaches

[Avis, Fukuda 96], [Barcucci et al. 99], [Li, Sawada 09], [Ruskey, Sawada, Williams 12], [Williams 13]

- cf. generating functions for counting
- cf. Markov chains for random sampling

- many tailormade algorithms, few general approaches
 - [Avis, Fukuda 96], [Barcucci et al. 99], [Li, Sawada 09], [Ruskey, Sawada, Williams 12], [Williams 13]
 - cf. generating functions for counting
 - cf. Markov chains for random sampling
- This work: a general framework for Gray code generation

- many tailormade algorithms, few general approaches
 - [Avis, Fukuda 96], [Barcucci et al. 99], [Li, Sawada 09], [Ruskey, Sawada, Williams 12], [Williams 13]
 - cf. generating functions for counting
 - cf. Markov chains for random sampling
- This work: a general framework for Gray code generation
- **Results:** all aforementioned algorithms as special cases

- many tailormade algorithms, few general approaches
 - [Avis, Fukuda 96], [Barcucci et al. 99], [Li, Sawada 09], [Ruskey, Sawada, Williams 12], [Williams 13]
 - cf. generating functions for counting
 - cf. Markov chains for random sampling
- This work: a general framework for Gray code generation
- **Results:** all aforementioned algorithms as special cases

+ many new results and algorithms for a multitude of other combinatorial objects and the corresponding lattices / polytopes

- many tailormade algorithms, few general approaches
 - [Avis, Fukuda 96], [Barcucci et al. 99], [Li, Sawada 09], [Ruskey, Sawada, Williams 12], [Williams 13]
 - cf. generating functions for counting
 - cf. Markov chains for random sampling
- This work: a general framework for Gray code generation
- **Results:** all aforementioned algorithms as special cases

+ many new results and algorithms for a multitude of other combinatorial objects and the corresponding lattices / polytopes + in particular, objects defined by pattern-avoidance

- many tailormade algorithms, few general approaches
 - [Avis, Fukuda 96], [Barcucci et al. 99], [Li, Sawada 09], [Ruskey, Sawada, Williams 12], [Williams 13]
 - cf. generating functions for counting
 - cf. Markov chains for random sampling
- This work: a general framework for Gray code generation
- **Results:** all aforementioned algorithms as special cases

+ many new results and algorithms for a multitude of other combinatorial objects and the corresponding lattices / polytopes + in particular, objects defined by pattern-avoidance

• Idea: Encode objects as a set $F_n \subseteq S_n$ of permutations of length n

• Jump:= move an entry in the permutation across some neighboring smaller entries (left or right)

4 5 1 3 2 6












Jumps

• Jump:= move an entry in the permutation across some neighboring smaller entries (left or right)



Jumps

• Jump:= move an entry in the permutation across some neighboring smaller entries (left or right)



Jumps

• Jump:= move an entry in the permutation across some neighboring smaller entries (left or right)



Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

• Minimal jump: no shorter jump of the same value produces a permutation in ${\cal F}_n$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.



Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

• Minimal jump: no shorter jump of the same value produces a permutation in ${\cal F}_n$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.



Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.



Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.



Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{1243, 1423, 2134, 4123, 4213\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, 1423, 2134, 4123, 4213\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• Example: $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, 1423, 2134, 4123, 4213\}$ 1243

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• Example: $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, \underline{1423}, 2134, 4123, 4213\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, \underline{1423}, 2134, 4123, 4213\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, \underline{1423}, 2134, 4123, 4213\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, \underline{1423}, 2134, 4123, 4213\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, \underline{1423}, 2134, \underline{4123}, 4213\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, \underline{1423}, 2134, \underline{4123}, 4213\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, \underline{1423}, 2134, \underline{4123}, \underline{4213}\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, \underline{1423}, 2134, \underline{4123}, \underline{4213}\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, \underline{1423}, \underline{2134}, \underline{4123}, \underline{4213}\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, \underline{1423}, \underline{2134}, \underline{4123}, \underline{4213}\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, \underline{1423}, \underline{2134}, \underline{4123}, \underline{4213}\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{1243, 1423, 2134, 4123, \underline{4213}\}$

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• Example: $F_4 = \{1243, 1423, 2134, 4123, 4213\}$ 1243 1423 1423 1423 1423 1423

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{1243, 1423, \underline{2134}, 4123, \underline{4213}\}$

4213



Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{1243, 1423, \underline{2134}, 4123, \underline{4213}\}$

4213

2134

no jump possible



Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

1423

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{1243, \underline{1423}, 2134, 4123, 4213\}$

4213

2134

no jump possible



Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

423

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{\underline{1243}, \underline{1423}, 2134, \underline{4123}, 4213\}$

4213

2134

no jump possible



Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• **Example:** $F_4 = \{1243, \underline{1423}, 2134, 4123, 4213\}$

4213 2134

no jump possible

1423 O direction ambiguous

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

• If every permutation from F_n is visited, we say that Algorithm J generates F_n (visiting twice is impossible)

Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_n \subseteq S_n$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from F_n is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

- If every permutation from F_n is visited, we say that Algorithm J generates F_n (visiting twice is impossible)
- Question: When does Algorithm J generate F_n ?

Tree of permutations

- root := empty permutation ε
- given a permutation length n-1, its children are obtained by inserting n in every possible position



Tree of permutations

- root := empty permutation ε
- given a permutation length n-1, its children are obtained by inserting n in every possible position


- root := empty permutation ε
- given a permutation length n-1, its children are obtained by inserting n in every possible position



- root := empty permutation ε
- given a permutation length n-1, its children are obtained by inserting n in every possible position



- root := empty permutation ε
- given a permutation length n-1, its children are obtained by inserting n in every possible position













- we may prune subtrees iff their root is •
- given any such pruned tree, a set of permutation $F_n \subseteq S_n$ in depth n is called **zigzag language**



- we may prune subtrees iff their root is •
- given any such pruned tree, a set of permutation $F_n \subseteq S_n$ in depth n is called **zigzag language**



- we may prune subtrees iff their root is •
- given any such pruned tree, a set of permutation $F_n \subseteq S_n$ in depth n is called **zigzag language**



- we may prune subtrees iff their root is •
- given any such pruned tree, a set of permutation $F_n \subseteq S_n$ in depth n is called **zigzag language**
- Examples:



- we may prune subtrees iff their root is •
- given any such pruned tree, a set of permutation $F_n \subseteq S_n$ in depth n is called **zigzag language**

123

32

• Examples:

- prune nothing: $F_n = S_n$, $|F_n| = n!$
- prune all green nodes: $F_n = \text{permutations}$ without peaks, $|F_n| = 2^{n-1}$

- we may prune subtrees iff their root is •
- given any such pruned tree, a set of permutation $F_n \subseteq S_n$ in depth n is called **zigzag language**

Theorem: Algorithm J generates **any zigzag language**, using the identity permutation for intialization.

- we may prune subtrees iff their root is •
- given any such pruned tree, a set of permutation $F_n \subseteq S_n$ in depth n is called **zigzag language**

Theorem: Algorithm J generates **any zigzag language**, using the identity permutation for intialization.

Proof: Induction over the depth of the tree. \Box

- we may prune subtrees iff their root is •
- given any such pruned tree, a set of permutation $F_n \subseteq S_n$ in depth n is called **zigzag language**

Theorem: Algorithm J generates **any zigzag language**, using the identity permutation for intialization.

Proof: Induction over the depth of the tree. \Box

• the number of zigzag languages is **enormous**:

$$\geq 2^{(n-1)!(n-2)} = 2^{2^{\Theta(n\log n)}}$$

- we may prune subtrees iff their root is •
- given any such pruned tree, a set of permutation $F_n \subseteq S_n$ in depth n is called **zigzag language**

Theorem: Algorithm J generates **any zigzag language**, using the identity permutation for intialization.

Proof: Induction over the depth of the tree. \Box

• the number of zigzag languages is **enormous**:

$$\geq 2^{(n-1)!(n-2)} = 2^{2^{\Theta(n\log n)}}$$

• many of them encode interesting combinatorial objects

Examples

$$F_n = S_n$$
$$|F_n| = n!$$

 $F_n = \text{permutations}$ without peaks $|F_n| = 2^{n-1}$

Examples

 $\begin{aligned} F_n &= S_n \\ |F_n| &= n! \end{aligned}$

 $F_n = \text{permutations}$ without peaks $|F_n| = 2^{n-1}$

Examples

 $\begin{aligned} F_n &= S_n \\ |F_n| &= n! \end{aligned}$

213

2143 2134

Steinhaus-Johnson-Trotter! minimal jumps → adjacent transpositions

 \hookrightarrow HC on permutahedron

 $F_n = \text{permutations}$ without peaks $|F_n| = 2^{n-1}$

Exam	oles	
$F_n = S_n$		-
$ F_n = n!$	$1234 \\1243 \\1423 \\4123 \\4132 \\1432 \\1342 \\1324 \\3124 \\3142 \\3412 \\4312 \\4321 \\3421 \\3241 \\3241 \\3214 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2343 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134$	
Steinhaus-J	ohnson-Tr	otter!
minimai jump)S +	
	transpositio	ons
\hookrightarrow HC on pe	rmutahedro	on

 F_n = permutations without peaks $|F_n| = 2^{n-1}$



- adjacent transpositions
- \hookrightarrow HC on permutahedron

Exam	oles	
$F_n = S_n$		-
$ F_n = n!$	$1234 \\1243 \\1423 \\4123 \\4132 \\1432 \\1342 \\1324 \\3124 \\3142 \\3412 \\4312 \\4321 \\3421 \\3241 \\3241 \\3214 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2341 \\2343 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134 \\2134$	
Steinhaus-J	ohnson-Tr	otter!
minimai jump)S +	
	transpositio	ons
\hookrightarrow HC on pe	rmutahedro	on

 F_n = permutations without peaks $|F_n| = 2^{n-1}$



 $x_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \text{ right of smaller entries} \\ 1 & i \text{ left of smaller entries} \end{cases}$

 $x_i = \begin{cases} 0 & i \text{ right of smaller entries} \\ 1 & i \text{ left of smaller entries} \end{cases}$

Binary reflected Gray code! minimal jumps - bitflips

 \hookrightarrow HC on hypercube

Combinatorial objects

• run Algorithm J

 $List = Algo J(F_n)$

 $List = Algo J(F_n) \longrightarrow f^{-1}(List)$

• $f^{-1}(\text{List})$

• run Algorithm J

 $List = Algo J(F_n) -$

interpret Algorithm J under the bijection

Algo J

 $\rightarrow f^{-1}(\text{List})$

• run Algorithm J

 $List = Algo J(F_n)$

interpret Algorithm J under the bijection
 Algo J
 f⁻¹(Algo J)

• run Algorithm J

 $List = Algo J(F_n) \longrightarrow f^{-1}(List)$

- interpret Algorithm J under the bijection
 Algo J
 f⁻¹(Algo J)
- minimal jumps _____ 'small changes'

run Algorithm J

 $List = Algo J(F_n)$

- interpret Algorithm J under the bijection
 ▲ Algo J
 ▲ f⁻¹(Algo J)
- minimal jumps _____ 'small changes'
 → walks on lattices / polytopes

 $\bullet f^{-1}(\text{List})$

Efficient algorithms

• greedy algorithm as stated **very inefficient** (store and look-up exponentially many previous permutations)
Efficient algorithms

- greedy algorithm as stated **very inefficient** (store and look-up exponentially many previous permutations)
- can make it **history-free** (no look-up needed)

Efficient algorithms

- greedy algorithm as stated **very inefficient** (store and look-up exponentially many previous permutations)
- can make it **history-free** (no look-up needed)
- running time in each step governed by membership tests in F_n ; typically F_n not given explicitly, but by properties (e.g., 'peak-free' or '231-avoiding')

Efficient algorithms

- greedy algorithm as stated **very inefficient** (store and look-up exponentially many previous permutations)
- can make it **history-free** (no look-up needed)
- running time in each step governed by membership tests in F_n ; typically F_n not given explicitly, but by properties (e.g., 'peak-free' or '231-avoiding')
- in many cases **polynomial-time algorithms** for concrete objects, sometimes even **loopless**

• I. pattern-avoiding permutations (classical/vincular/ mesh patterns, monotone and geometric grid classes) [SODA'20]

• I. pattern-avoiding permutations (classical/vincular/ mesh patterns, monotone and geometric grid classes) [SODA'20]

• VI. pattern-avoiding binary trees

- I. pattern-avoiding permutations (classical/vincular/ mesh patterns, monotone and geometric grid classes) [SODA'20]
- III. pattern-avoiding rectangulations [5°CG'21]

• VI. pattern-avoiding binary trees

- I. pattern-avoiding permutations (classical/vincular/ mesh patterns, monotone and geometric grid classes) [SODA'20]
- II. lattice congruences of the weak order on S_n
- III. pattern-avoiding rectangulations [SoCG'21]

• VI. pattern-avoiding binary trees

- I. pattern-avoiding permutations (classical/vincular/ mesh patterns, monotone and geometric grid classes) [SODA'20]
- II. lattice congruences of the weak order on S_n
- III. pattern-avoiding rectangulations [SoCG'21]
- IV. elimination trees [SODA'22]
- VI. pattern-avoiding binary trees

- I. pattern-avoiding permutations (classical/vincular/ mesh patterns, monotone and geometric grid classes) [SODA'20]
- II. lattice congruences of the weak order on S_n
- III. pattern-avoiding rectangulations [5°CG'21]
- IV. elimination trees [SODA'22]
- V. acyclic orientations of graphs [SODA'23]
- VI. pattern-avoiding binary trees

- I. pattern-avoiding permutations (classical/vincular/ mesh patterns, monotone and geometric grid classes) [SODA'20]
- II. lattice congruences of the weak order on S_n
- III. pattern-avoiding rectangulations [5°CG'21]
- IV. elimination trees [SODA'22]
- V. acyclic orientations of graphs [SODA'23]
- VI. pattern-avoiding binary trees

S_n(τ₁,...,τ_k) ⊆ S_n := set of permutations avoiding each of the patterns τ₁,...,τ_k

• A pattern τ is **tame**, if

• A pattern τ is **tame**, if

classical: largest entry not at the boundary

• A pattern τ is **tame**, if

classical: largest entry not at the boundary



2413 🕥 4213 🖸

• A pattern τ is **tame**, if

classical: largest entry not at the boundary

• A pattern τ is tame, if

classical: largest entry not at the boundary

2413 4213 vincular: + one vincular pair involving the largest entry

• A pattern τ is **tame**, if

classical: largest entry not at the boundary

 $\begin{array}{ccc} & 2413 \circlearrowright & 4213 \circlearrowright \\ \textbf{vincular:} + \text{ one vincular pair involving the largest entry} \\ & 2413 \circlearrowright \end{array}$

• A pattern τ is **tame**, if

classical: largest entry not at the boundary

2<u>41</u>3 🛇

 $\overline{2413}$

2413 4213 vincular: + one vincular pair involving the largest entry

- A pattern τ is **tame**, if
 - classical: largest entry not at the boundary

2413

vincular: + one vincular pair involving the largest entry $2413 \heartsuit 2413$

2413 🕥 4213 🖸

- A pattern τ is **tame**, if
 - classical: largest entry not at the boundary
 - $\begin{array}{ccc} 2413 & \textcircled{} & 4213 & \textcircled{} \\ \hline \textbf{vincular:} + \text{ one vincular pair involving the largest entry} \\ 2413 & \textcircled{} & 2413 & \textcircled{} \\ 2413 & \textcircled{} & 2413 & \textcircled{} \\ \hline \end{array}$

• A pattern τ is **tame**, if

classical: largest entry not at the boundary

 $\begin{array}{ccc} 2413 & \textcircled{} & 4213 & \textcircled{} \\ \hline \textbf{vincular:} + \text{ one vincular pair involving the largest entry} \\ 2413 & \textcircled{} & 2413 & \textcircled{} \\ 2413 & \textcircled{} & 2413 & \textcircled{} \\ \hline \end{array}$

• A pattern τ is **tame**, if

classical: largest entry not at the boundary

vincular: + one vincular pair involving the largest entry $2413 \oslash 2413 \odot 2413 \odot 2413 \odot$

2413 🕥 4213 🖸



• A pattern τ is **tame**, if

classical: largest entry not at the boundary

vincular: + one vincular pair involving the largest entry $2413 \bigcirc 2413 \bigcirc 2413 \bigcirc 2413 \bigcirc$

2413 🕥 4213 🖸



• A pattern τ is **tame**, if

classical: largest entry not at the boundary

vincular: + one vincular pair involving the largest entry

2413 🕥 4213 😧

 $\begin{array}{cccc}
2413 & & & & \\
2413 & & & & \\
2413 & & & & \\
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
2413 & & \\
2413 & & \\
\end{array}$



• A pattern τ is **tame**, if

classical: largest entry not at the boundary

vincular: + one vincular pair involving the largest entry

2413 🕥 4213 😧

mesh: + no shaded cell in the top row



Theorem: If τ_1, \ldots, τ_k are tame patterns, then $S_n(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k)$ is a zigzag language.

Tame patterns \checkmark Combinatorial objects

Tame patterns $\stackrel{f}{\longleftarrow}$ Combinatorial objects

231 Catalan families

Tame patterns \checkmark Combinatorial objects

231

- Catalan families binary trees by rotations
 - triangulations by flips
 - Dyck paths by hill flips

Tame patterns \checkmark Combinatorial objects

Catalan families • binary trees by rotations

- triangulations by **flips**
- Dyck paths by hill flips

<u>23</u>1 Bell families

231

Tame patterns \checkmark Combinatorial objects

Bell families

231 Catalan families •

231

- es binary trees by **rotations**
 - triangulations by **flips**
 - Dyck paths by hill flips
 - set partitions by
 element exchanges

Tame patterns $\stackrel{f}{\longleftarrow}$ Combinatorial objects

Bell families

231

231,132

231 Catalan families • binary trees by rotations

- triangulations by flips
- Dyck paths by hill flips
- set partitions by
 element exchanges
- bitstrings by flips (BRGC)

Tame patterns $\leftarrow f$ Combinatorial objects

Bell families

231 Catalan families • binary trees by rotations
• triangulations by flips

- Dyck paths by hill flips
 - set partitions by
 element exchanges
 - bitstrings by flips (BRGC)

2<u>41</u>3,3<u>14</u>2 Baxter families

231

231,132

Tame patterns $\leftarrow f$ Combinatorial objects

231

231,132

231 Catalan families • binary trees by rotations• triangulations by flips

- Dyck paths by hill flips
 Bell families
 set partitions by
 - set partitions by
 element exchanges
 - bitstrings by flips (BRGC)
- 2<u>41</u>3,3<u>14</u>2 Baxter families diagonal rectangulations

Tame patterns \checkmark Combinatorial objects

231 binary trees by rotations Catalan families • triangulations by **flips**

- 231 **Bell families**
- 231,132
- 2413,3142
 - Baxter families
- 3<u>51</u>24,3<u>51</u>42, 24513,42513
- - 2-clumped pms.
- diagonal rectangulations

• bitstrings by **flips** (BRGC)

- Dyck paths by hill flips
- set partitions by element exchanges

Tame patterns \checkmark Combinatorial objects

231 • binary trees by **rotations** Catalan families • triangulations by **flips**

- 231 **Bell families**
- 231,132
- 2<u>41</u>3,3<u>1</u>42
 - Baxter families
- 3<u>51</u>24,3<u>51</u>42, 24513,42513
- - 2-clumped pms.
- diagonal rectangulations

• bitstrings by **flips** (BRGC)

element exchanges

Dyck paths by hill flips

• set partitions by

• generic rectangulations

Tame patterns \checkmark Combinatorial objects

231• binary trees by **rotations** Catalan families • triangulations by **flips**

- 231 **Bell families**
- 231,132
- 2413,3142 Baxter families
- 35124,35142, 2-clumped pms. 24513,42513
- - diagonal rectangulations

• bitstrings by **flips** (BRGC)

• Dyck paths by hill flips

element exchanges

• set partitions by

• generic rectangulations

 \rightarrow see the Combinatorial Object Server: www.combos.org/jump
Grid classes

- monotone grid class $\operatorname{Grid}_n(M)$ [Huczynska, Vatter 06]
- geometric grid class $\operatorname{Geo}_n(M)$ [Albert et al. 13]

Grid classes

- monotone grid class $\operatorname{Grid}_n(M)$ [Huczynska, Vatter 06]
- geometric grid class $\operatorname{Geo}_n(M)$ [Albert et al. 13]







• Label vertices with $1, \ldots, n$ according to search tree property: for any vertex i, we have L(i) < i < R(i)



- Label vertices with 1,..., n according to search tree property:
 for any vertex i, we have L(i) < i < R(i)
- $T_n := \text{binary (search) trees with } n \text{ vertices}$



- Label vertices with 1,..., n according to search tree property:
 for any vertex i, we have L(i) < i < R(i)
- $T_n := \text{binary (search) trees with } n \text{ vertices}$

Theorem [Folklore]: There is a bijection f between T_n and $S_n(231)$.



- Label vertices with 1,..., n according to search tree property:
 for any vertex i, we have L(i) < i < R(i)
- $T_n := \text{binary (search) trees with } n \text{ vertices}$

Theorem [Folklore]: There is a bijection f between T_n and $S_n(231)$.

f(T) := (r(T), L(T), R(T))'preorder traversal'



- Label vertices with 1,..., n according to search tree property:
 for any vertex i, we have L(i) < i < R(i)
- $T_n := \text{binary (search) trees with } n \text{ vertices}$

Theorem [Folklore]: There is a bijection f between T_n and $S_n(231)$.

f(T) := (r(T), L(T), R(T)) 'preorder traversal'

 $\begin{array}{c}
6 \\
7 \\
2 \\
7 \\
1 \\
3 \\
\end{array}$

'preorder traversal' f(T) = (6, 5, 2, 1, 4, 3, 9, 7, 8, 10)

- Label vertices with 1,..., n according to search tree property:
 for any vertex i, we have L(i) < i < R(i)
- $T_n := \text{binary (search) trees with } n \text{ vertices}$

Theorem [Folklore]: There is a bijection f between T_n and $S_n(231)$.

f(T) := (r(T), L(T), R(T)) 'preorder traversal'

 $\begin{array}{r}
 6 T \\
 5 9 \\
 2 7 10 \\
 1 4 8 \\
 3 \\
 3
\end{array}$

'preorder traversal' f(T) = (6, 5, 2, 1, 4, 3, 9, 7, 8, 10)

- Label vertices with 1,..., n according to search tree property:
 for any vertex i, we have L(i) < i < R(i)
- $T_n := \text{binary (search) trees with } n \text{ vertices}$

Theorem [Folklore]: There is a bijection f between T_n and $S_n(231)$.

f(T) := (r(T), L(T), R(T))



'preorder traversal' f(T) = (6, 5, 2, 1, 4, 3, 9, 7, 8, 10)

• $S_n(231)$ is a zigzag language, so Algorithm J applies

- Label vertices with 1,..., n according to search tree property:
 for any vertex i, we have L(i) < i < R(i)
- $T_n := \text{binary (search) trees with } n \text{ vertices}$

Theorem [Folklore]: There is a bijection f between T_n and $S_n(231)$.

f(T) := (r(T), L(T), R(T))



'preorder traversal' f(T) = (6, 5, 2, 1, 4, 3, 9, 7, 8, 10)• $S_n(231)$ is a zigzag language, so Algorithm J applies

Theorem: Under f^{-1} , minimal jumps of Algorithm J translate to tree rotations, i.e., we obtain a rotation Gray code for binary trees (\hookrightarrow HP on associahedron).

= [Lucas, Roelants van Baronaigien, Ruskey 93]

- Label vertices with 1, ..., n according to search tree property: for any vertex *i*, we have L(i) < i < R(i)
- $T_n :=$ binary (search) trees with n vertices

Theorem [Folklore]: There is a bijection fbetween T_n and $S_n(231)$.

f(T) := (r(T), L(T), R(T))



'preorder traversal' f(T) = (6, 5, 2, 1, 4, 3, 9, 7, 8, 10)• $S_n(231)$ is a zigzag language, so Algorithm J applies

Theorem: Under f^{-1} , minimal jumps of Algorithm J translate to tree rotations, i.e., we obtain a rotation Gray code for binary trees $(\rightarrow HP \text{ on associahedron}).$ j i

= [Lucas, Roelants van Baronaigien, Ruskey 93]



pattern tree host tree P

pattern tree host tree P T T contains P

pattern tree host tree





pattern tree host tree

contiguous

[Rowland 10]







non-contiguous

[Dairyko, Tyner, Pudwell, Wynn 12]





non-contiguous

[Dairyko, Tyner, Pudwell, Wynn 12]



mixed (new)









Theorem: For every (mixed) tree pattern, there is a permutation mesh pattern $\tau(P) = (f(P), C)$ such that $f : T_n(P) \rightarrow S_n(231, \tau(P))$ is a bijection.



Theorem: For every (mixed) tree pattern, there is a permutation mesh pattern $\tau(P) = (f(P), C)$ such that $f : T_n(P) \rightarrow S_n(231, \tau(P))$ is a bijection.

• generalizes result of [Pudwell, Scholten, Schrock, Serrato 14]



Theorem: For every (mixed) tree pattern, there is a permutation mesh pattern $\tau(P) = (f(P), C)$ such that $f : T_n(P) \rightarrow S_n(231, \tau(P))$ is a bijection.

- generalizes result of [Pudwell, Scholten, Schrock, Serrato 14]
- classified all tree patterns on ≤ 5 vertices; interesting bijections to pattern-avoiding lattice paths and set partitions

Tame patterns

• A pattern P is **tame**, if the largest node is neither root nor leaf, and the right branch from the root is non-contiguous



Tame patterns

• A pattern P is **tame**, if the largest node is neither root nor leaf, and the right branch from the root is non-contiguous



Theorem: If P_1, \ldots, P_k are tame patterns, then $f(T_n(P_1, \ldots, P_k))$ is a zigzag language. Under f^{-1} , minimal jumps of Algorithm J translate to sequences of rotations.

Tame patterns

• A pattern P is **tame**, if the largest node is neither root nor leaf, and the right branch from the root is non-contiguous



Theorem: If P_1, \ldots, P_k are tame patterns, then $f(T_n(P_1, \ldots, P_k))$ is a zigzag language. Under f^{-1} , minimal jumps of Algorithm J translate to sequences of rotations.

 \rightarrow see www.combos.org/btree







• Generic rectangulation: subdivision of a square into *n* rectangles s.t. no four rectangles meet



 'combinatorial' equivalence: only incidences between rectangles matter

• Generic rectangulation: subdivision of a square into *n* rectangles s.t. no four rectangles meet



 'combinatorial' equivalence: only incidences between rectangles matter



- 'combinatorial' equivalence: only incidences between rectangles matter
- $R_n := \text{set of all rectangulations with } n \text{ rectangles}$



- 'combinatorial' equivalence: only incidences between rectangles matter
- $R_n :=$ set of all rectangulations with n rectangles



Theorem [Reading 12]: There is a bijection f between R_n and $S_n(35124, 35142, 24513, 42513)$ (2-clumped permutations).

Theorem [Reading 12]: There is a bijection f between R_n and $S_n(35124, 35142, 24513, 42513)$ (2-clumped permutations).

is a zigzag language, so Algorithm J applies

Theorem [Reading 12]: There is a bijection f between R_n and $S_n(35124, 35142, 24513, 42513)$ (2-clumped permutations).

is a zigzag language, so Algorithm J applies

Theorem: Under f^{-1} , minimal jumps of Algorithm J translate to rectangle flips, i.e., we obtain a flip Gray code for generic rectangulations (\hookrightarrow HC on quotientope).
Generic rectangulations

Theorem [Reading 12]: There is a bijection f between R_n and $S_n(35124, 35142, 24513, 42513)$ (2-clumped permutations).

is a zigzag language, so Algorithm J applies

Theorem: Under f^{-1} , minimal jumps of Algorithm J translate to rectangle flips, i.e., we obtain a flip Gray code for generic rectangulations (\hookrightarrow HC on quotientope).



Flip Gray code







• **Segment:** maximal sequence of inner edges



• **Segment:** maximal sequence of inner edges

• Pattern: connected configuration of segments



• **Segment:** maximal sequence of inner edges

• **Pattern:** connected configuration of segments



can be seen as a rectangulation itself

• **Segment:** maximal sequence of inner edges

• **Pattern:** connected configuration of segments



can be seen as a rectangulation itself



contains P









• A pattern *P* is **tame**, if the bottom right corner rectangle does not stretch across the whole bottom or right side



Theorem: If P_1, \ldots, P_k are tame patterns, then $f(R_n(P_1, \ldots, P_k))$ is a zigzag language. Under f^{-1} , minimal jumps of Algorithm J translate to sequences of rectangle flips.





diagonal rectangulations





diagonal rectangulations \hookrightarrow HC on quotientope





diagonal rectangulations \hookrightarrow HC on quotientope



$R_n($							
	,		,		,)

area-universal rectangulations [Eppstein, Mumford, Speckmann, Verbeek 2012]



diagonal rectangulations \hookrightarrow HC on quotientope





area-universal rectangulations [Eppstein, Mumford, Speckmann, Verbeek 2012]



guillotine rectangulations





diagonal rectangulations \hookrightarrow HC on quotientope





area-universal rectangulations [Eppstein, Mumford, Speckmann, Verbeek 2012]



guillotine rectangulations





Catalan staircases C_n [Downing, Einstein, Hartung, Williams 2023]



diagonal rectangulations \hookrightarrow HC on quotientope





area-universal rectangulations [Eppstein, Mumford, Speckmann, Verbeek 2012]



guillotine rectangulations





Catalan staircases C_n [Downing, Einstein, Hartung, Williams 2023] \hookrightarrow HP on associahedron



diagonal rectangulations \hookrightarrow HC on quotientope





area-universal rectangulations [Eppstein, Mumford, Speckmann, Verbeek 2012]



guillotine rectangulations



Catalan staircases C_n [Downing, Einstein, Hartung, Williams 2023] \hookrightarrow HP on associahedron





stacked rectangulations 2^n



diagonal rectangulations \hookrightarrow HC on quotientope





area-universal rectangulations [Eppstein, Mumford, Speckmann, Verbeek 2012]



guillotine rectangulations



Catalan staircases C_n [Downing, Einstein, Hartung, Williams 2023] \hookrightarrow HP on associahedron



stacked rectangulations $2^n \hookrightarrow HC$ on hypercube





diagonal rectangulations \hookrightarrow HC on quotientope





area-universal rectangulations [Eppstein, Mumford, Speckmann, Verbeek 2012]



guillotine rectangulations



Catalan staircases C_n [Downing, Einstein, Hartung, Williams 2023] \hookrightarrow HP on associahedron



stacked rectangulations $2^n \hookrightarrow HC$ on hypercube

 \rightarrow see www.combos.org/rect



• Generating functions for mixed tree patterns?

- Generating functions for mixed tree patterns?
- Third notion of edge type in tree patterns

- Generating functions for mixed tree patterns?
- Third notion of edge type in tree patterns \sim



- Generating functions for mixed tree patterns?
- Third notion of edge type in tree patterns
- Does every rectangulation pattern correspond to a mesh permutation pattern? \rightarrow [Asinowski, Cardinal, Felsner, Fusy PP23]

- Generating functions for mixed tree patterns?
- Third notion of edge type in tree patterns
- Does every rectangulation pattern correspond to a mesh permutation pattern? \rightarrow [Asinowski, Cardinal, Felsner, Fusy PP23]
- Applications of the generation framework to other (patternavoiding) combinatorial objects

Thank you!