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bitstrings
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- fundamental tasks:
counting, sampling, optimization
+ exhaustive generation [Knuth TAOCP Vol. 4A]
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## Exhaustive generation

- Goal: generate all objects of a combinatorial class efficiently
- ultimately: each new object in constant time
- consecutive objects differ by 'small amount' $\rightarrow$ Gray code
- Examples:
- binary trees by rotations [Lucas, Roelants van Baronaigien, Ruskey 93]
- permutations by adjacent transpositions (Steinhaus-Johnson-Trotter algorithm) [Johnson 64], [TTotere 62]
- bitstrings by bitflips (Binary reflected Gray code) [Gray 53]
- set partitions by element exchanges [Kaye 76]
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## Gray code generation

- many tailormade algorithms, few general approaches
[Avis, Fukuda 96], [Barcucci et al. 99], [Li, Sawada 09], [Ruskey, Sawada, Williams 12], [Williams 13]
- cf. generating functions for counting
- cf. Markov chains for random sampling
- This work: a general framework for Gray code generation
- Results: all aforementioned algorithms as special cases
+ many new results and algorithms for a multitude of other combinatorial objects and the corresponding lattices / polytopes + in particular, objects defined by pattern-avoidance
- Idea: Encode objects as a set $F_{n} \subseteq S_{n}$ of permutations of length $n$
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## Algorithm J

attempts to generate a set of permutations $F_{n} \subseteq S_{n}$

- Start with an initial permutation.
- In the current permutation, perform a minimal jump of the largest possible value, so that a previously unvisited permutation from $F_{n}$ is created.

Stop if no jump is possible or jump direction is ambiguous.

- If every permutation from $F_{n}$ is visited, we say that Algorithm J generates $F_{n}$ (visiting twice is impossible)
- Question: When does Algorithm J generate $F_{n}$ ?
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- we may prune subtrees iff their root is
- given any such pruned tree, a set of permutation $F_{n} \subseteq S_{n}$ in depth $n$ is called zigzag language
- Examples:
- prune nothing: $F_{n}=S_{n},\left|F_{n}\right|=n$ !
- prune all green nodes:
$F_{n}=$ permutations without peaks, $\left|F_{n}\right|=2^{n-1}$
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- we may prune subtrees iff their root is
- given any such pruned tree, a set of permutation $F_{n} \subseteq S_{n}$ in depth $n$ is called zigzag language

Theorem: Algorithm J generates any zigzag language, using the identity permutation for intialization.

Proof: Induction over the depth of the tree. $\square$

- the number of zigzag languages is enormous:

$$
\geq 2^{(n-1)!(n-2)}=2^{2^{\Theta(n \log n)}}
$$

- many of them encode interesting combinatorial objects
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## Examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{n}=S_{n} \\
& \left|F_{n}\right|=n! \\
& \begin{array}{l}
1234 \\
1243 \\
1423 \\
4123 \\
4132 \\
1432 \\
1342 \\
1324 \\
3124 \\
3142 \\
3412 \\
4312 \\
4321 \\
3421 \\
3241 \\
3214 \\
2314 \\
2341 \\
2431 \\
4231 \\
4213 \\
2413 \\
2143 \\
2134
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{n}=S_{n} \\
& \left|F_{n}\right|=n!
\end{aligned}
$$

Steinhaus-Johnson-Trotter! minimal jumps
$\leftrightarrow$ adjacent transpositions
$\hookrightarrow \mathrm{HC}$ on permutahedron
$F_{n}=$ permutations without peaks

$$
\left|F_{n}\right|=2^{n-1}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& & \mathbf{2 3 4} \\
1234 \\
4123 \\
4312 \\
3124 & 000 \\
3214 & 001 \\
4321 \\
4213 & 011 \\
2134 & 010 \\
& 110 \\
110 \\
1010
\end{array}
$$

$$
x_{i}= \begin{cases}0 & i \text { right of smaller entries } \\ 1 & i \text { left of smaller entries }\end{cases}
$$

Binary reflected Gray code! minimal jumps $\leftrightarrow$ bitflips $\hookrightarrow \mathrm{HC}$ on hypercube
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## Combinatorial objects

- run Algorithm J

$$
\text { List }=\text { Algo } \mathrm{J}\left(F_{n}\right) \longrightarrow f^{-1}(\text { List })
$$

- interpret Algorithm J under the bijection

$$
\text { Algo J } \longrightarrow f^{-1}(\text { Algo J) }
$$

- minimal jumps $\rightarrow$ 'small changes'
$\hookrightarrow$ walks on lattices / polytopes
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- can make it history-free (no look-up needed)
- running time in each step governed by membership tests in $F_{n}$; typically $F_{n}$ not given explicitly, but by properties (e.g., 'peak-free' or '231-avoiding')
- in many cases polynomial-time algorithms for concrete objects, sometimes even loopless
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- A pattern $\tau$ is tame, if
classical: largest entry not at the boundary

$$
2413 \bigcirc \quad 4213
$$

vincular: + one vincular pair involving the largest entry
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& 2413 \\
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Theorem: If $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{k}$ are tame patterns, then $S_{n}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{k}\right)$ is a zigzag language.
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Tame patterns $\stackrel{f}{\longleftrightarrow}$ Combinatorial objects

231
$\underline{231}$
Bell families
231,132
2413,3142 Baxter families
35124,35142, 2-clumped pms. 24513,42513

Catalan families - binary trees by rotations

- triangulations by flips
- Dyck paths by hill flips
- set partitions by element exchanges
- bitstrings by flips (BRGC)
- diagonal rectangulations
- generic rectangulations
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## non-contiguous

[Dairyko, Tyner, Pudwell, Wynn 12]

$T$ contains $P$
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Theorem: For every (mixed) tree pattern, there is a permutation mesh pattern $\tau(P)=(f(P), C)$ such that $f: T_{n}(P) \rightarrow$ $S_{n}(231, \tau(P))$ is a bijection.

- generalizes result of [Pudwell, Scholten, Schrock, Serrato 14]
- classified all tree patterns on $\leq 5$ vertices; interesting bijections to pattern-avoiding lattice paths and set partitions
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- A pattern $P$ is tame, if the largest node is neither root nor leaf, and the right branch from the root is non-contiguous


Theorem: If $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ are tame patterns, then $f\left(T_{n}\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}\right)\right)$ is a zigzag language. Under $f^{-1}$, minimal jumps of Algorithm J translate to sequences of rotations.
$\rightarrow$ see www. combos.org/btree
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Theorem: If $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ are tame patterns, then $f\left(R_{n}\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}\right)\right)$ is a zigzag language. Under $f^{-1}$, minimal jumps of Algorithm J translate to sequences of rectangle flips.
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## Examples


diagonal rectangulations $\hookrightarrow \mathrm{HC}$ on quotientope

[Eppstein, Mumford, Speckmann, Verbeek 2012]


Catalan staircases $C_{n}$

[Downing, Einstein, Hartung, Williams 2023]
$\hookrightarrow \mathrm{HP}$ on associahedron
stacked rectangulations $2^{n}$
$\hookrightarrow \mathrm{HC}$ on hypercube
$\rightarrow$ see www.combos.org/rect
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## Open questions

- Generating functions for mixed tree patterns?
- Third notion of edge type in tree patterns $0_{0}^{0}$
- Does every rectangulation pattern correspond to a mesh permutation pattern? $\rightarrow$ Asinowski, Cardinal, Felsner, Fusy Pp23]
- Applications of the generation framework to other (patternavoiding) combinatorial objects


## Thank you!

