# Growth rates of permutations with a given descent set

Justin Troyka

California State University, Los Angeles

Permutation Patterns July 7, 2023 Joint work with Mohamed Omar, in progress

In case you can't focus on my talk, here is a combinatorics problem you can work on instead.

There are n married couples, each comprising one woman and one man. These 2n people are dancing in a circle. Very concerned with modesty, they arrange themselves so that no woman is next to a man she is not married to. How many possible arrangements are there?

Cyclic permutations of an arrangement count as different. If you like, start with n = 3.

If w is a {0, 1} word whose length is at least k, then we let w[k] denote the word formed by the first k letters of w.

• Example: w = 011010011001... and w[5] = 01101.

The descent word of  $\pi \in S_n$  is the word  $Des(\pi) = w_1 \dots w_{n-1}$  such that  $w_i = 1$  if i is a descent and  $w_i = 0$  if i is an ascent.

• Example:  $\pi = 314952687$  and  $Des(\pi) = 10011001$ .

The peak word of  $\pi \in S_n$  is the word  $Pk(\pi) = w_1 \dots w_{n-2}$  such that  $w_i = 1$  if i + 1 is a peak of  $\pi$  and  $w_i = 0$  otherwise.

• Example:  $\pi = 314952687$  and  $Pk(\pi) = 0010001$ .

If w is a {0, 1} word whose length is at least k, then we let w[k] denote the word formed by the first k letters of w.

• Example: w = 011010011001... and w[5] = 01101.

The descent word of  $\pi \in S_n$  is the word  $Des(\pi) = w_1 \dots w_{n-1}$  such that  $w_i = 1$  if i is a descent and  $w_i = 0$  if i is an ascent.

• Example:  $\pi = 314952687$  and  $Des(\pi) = 10011001$ .

The peak word of  $\pi \in S_n$  is the word  $Pk(\pi) = w_1 \dots w_{n-2}$  such that  $w_i = 1$  if i + 1 is a peak of  $\pi$  and  $w_i = 0$  otherwise.

• Example:  $\pi = 314952687$  and  $Pk(\pi) = 0010001$ .

Define  $d_n(w)$  to be the number of permutations in  $S_n$  with descent word w[n-1].

Define  $p_n(w)$  to be the number of permutations in  $S_n$  with peak word w[n-2].

Define  $d_n(w)$  to be the number of permutations in  $S_n$  with descent word w[n-1].

• Example:  $d_6(000100010001...) = ?$ = #{ $\pi \in S_6$ : Des( $\pi$ ) = 00010}

1235 46	1236 45	1245 36	1346 25	1256 34
1345 26	1346 25	1356 24	1456 23	2345 16
2346 15	2356 14	2456 13	3456 12	

 $d_6(000100010001...) = 14.$ 

Define  $p_n(w)$  to be the number of permutations in  $S_n$  with peak word w[n-2].

Define  $d_n(w)$  to be the number of permutations in  $S_n$  with descent word w[n-1].

• Example:  $d_6(000100010001...) = ?$ = #{ $\pi \in S_6$ : Des( $\pi$ ) = 00010}

1235 46	1236 45	1245 36	1346 25	1256 34
1345 26	1346 25	1356 24	1456 23	2345 16
2346 15	2356 14	2456 13	3456 12	

 $d_6(000100010001...) = 14.$ 

Define  $p_n(w)$  to be the number of permutations in  $S_n$  with peak word w[n-2].

*Our goal: understand*  $d_n(w)$  *and*  $p_n(w)$  *for a given infinite word* w*, especially the asymptotics as*  $n \to \infty$ *.* 

Suppose *w* has finitely many 1's. Now  $p_n(w)$  is the peak polynomial for *w*, a polynomial in n, well studied (Billey Burdzy Sagan 2013, Billey Fahrbach Talmage 2016, Diaz-Lopez Harris Insko Omar 2017, etc.). Similarly,  $d_n(w)$  is the descent polynomial for *w*, also a polynomial in n, somewhat less studied (Kantarcı Oğuz 2018, Diaz-Lopez Harris Insko Omar Sagan 2019).

When *w* is allowed to have infinitely many 0's and infinitely many 1's, interesting things can happen. The numbers must be greater than polynomial. There is some prior work (see the abstract), including my thesis research (2018).

- I. Introduction (done)
- II. The growth rate of a descent word or peak word
- III. Other results and further questions

- I. Introduction (done)
- II. The growth rate of a descent word or peak word
- III. Other results and further questions

- d<sub>n</sub>(w) is maximized by w = 010101... and w = 101010...
  (Viennot 1979).
- d<sub>n</sub>(010101...) counts the alternating permutations and equals the Euler number, E<sub>n</sub>.

• 
$$E_n \sim \frac{4}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^n n!.$$

• If *w* is chosen uniformly at random, then  $\mathbb{E}[d_n(w)] = 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n n!.$ 

- d<sub>n</sub>(w) is maximized by w = 010101... and w = 101010...
  (Viennot 1979).
- d<sub>n</sub>(010101...) counts the alternating permutations and equals the Euler number, E<sub>n</sub>.

• 
$$E_n \sim \frac{4}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^n n!.$$

• If *w* is chosen uniformly at random, then  $\mathbb{E}[d_n(w)] = 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n n!.$ 

These suggest that, in many cases,  $d_n(w)$  is asymptotically of the form  $cn^p L^n n!$ . We focus on the number L. Define

$$\operatorname{gr} \operatorname{d}_{n}(w) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{\operatorname{d}_{n}(w)}{n!} \right)^{1/n}.$$

- d<sub>n</sub>(w) is maximized by w = 010101... and w = 101010...
  (Viennot 1979).
- d<sub>n</sub>(010101...) counts the alternating permutations and equals the Euler number, E<sub>n</sub>.

• 
$$E_n \sim \frac{4}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^n n!.$$

• If *w* is chosen uniformly at random, then  $\mathbb{E}[d_n(w)] = 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n n!.$ 

These suggest that, in many cases,  $d_n(w)$  is asymptotically of the form  $cn^p L^n n!$ . We focus on the number L. Define

gr 
$$d_n(w) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{d_n(w)}{n!} \right)^{1/n}$$

• 
$$0 \leq \operatorname{gr} d_n(w) \leq 2/\pi \approx 0.637.$$

p<sub>n</sub>(w) is maximized by w = 001001001..., with slight adjustments when n ≡ 1 (mod 3). If w maximizes p<sub>n</sub>(w), then p<sub>n</sub>(w) = c 3<sup>-n/3</sup>n!, where c is determined by n mod 3. (Kasraoui 2012, Billey Fahrbach Talmage 2016).

Just as before, we can write

$$\operatorname{gr} p_{n}(w) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{p_{n}(w)}{n!} \right)^{1/n}.$$

•  $0 \leq \operatorname{gr} p_n(w) \leq 1/\sqrt[3]{3} \approx 0.693.$ 

p<sub>n</sub>(w) is maximized by w = 001001001..., with slight adjustments when n ≡ 1 (mod 3). If w maximizes p<sub>n</sub>(w), then p<sub>n</sub>(w) = c 3<sup>-n/3</sup>n!, where c is determined by n mod 3. (Kasraoui 2012, Billey Fahrbach Talmage 2016).

Just as before, we can write

$$\operatorname{gr} p_n(w) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{p_n(w)}{n!} \right)^{1/n}.$$

• 
$$0 \leq \operatorname{gr} p_n(w) \leq 1/\sqrt[3]{3} \approx 0.693.$$

Summary: The number of permutations with descent word w or peak word w is at most an exponentially decaying fraction of the total number of permutations, and the base of the exponential decay is  $\leq 2/\pi$  for the descent word and  $\leq 1/\sqrt[3]{3}$  for the peak word.

We say two infinite words  $u = u_1 u_2 \dots$  and  $v = v_1 v_2 \dots$  are equicaudal if  $u_a u_{a+1} \dots = v_b v_{b+1} \dots$  for some a and b — that is, u and v have the same tail, or the same coda.

 Example: 0101001100110011... and 000100001100110011... are equicaudal.
 Theorem (Omar & T. 2023+): If u and v are equicaudal, then gr d<sub>n</sub>(u) = gr d<sub>n</sub>(v).

We say two infinite words  $u = u_1 u_2 \dots$  and  $v = v_1 v_2 \dots$  are equicaudal if  $u_a u_{a+1} \dots = v_b v_{b+1} \dots$  for some a and b — that is, u and v have the same tail, or the same coda.

 Example: 0101001100110011... and 000100001100110011... are equicaudal.
 Theorem (Omar & T. 2023+): If u and v are equicaudal, then

 $\operatorname{gr} d_n(u) = \operatorname{gr} d_n(v).$ 

*Proof idea*: Shifting u by one position multiplies  $d_n(u)$  by a factor between 1/n and n. By induction,  $d_n(v)/d_n(u)$  is bounded above and below by rational functions of n.

We say two infinite words  $u = u_1 u_2 \dots$  and  $v = v_1 v_2 \dots$  are equicaudal if  $u_a u_{a+1} \dots = v_b v_{b+1} \dots$  for some a and b — that is, u and v have the same tail, or the same coda.

 Example: 0101001100110011... and 000100001100110011... are equicaudal.
 Theorem (Omar & T. 2023+): If u and v are equicaudal, then

 $\operatorname{gr} d_n(u) = \operatorname{gr} d_n(v).$ 

*Proof idea*: Shifting u by one position multiplies  $d_n(u)$  by a factor between 1/n and n. By induction,  $d_n(v)/d_n(u)$  is bounded above and below by rational functions of n.

This extends the fact that d<sub>n</sub>(w) is a polynomial of n when w has finitely many 1's, since in this case w and 000... are equicaudal.

**Theorem** (Omar & T. 2023+): For every  $L \in [0, 2/\pi]$ , there exists an infinite word *w* such that gr  $d_n(w) = L$ .

*Proof idea:* We construct *w* letter by letter, by looking at the value of  $g_n = \frac{d_n(w)}{n!} L^{-n}$ . Append 0's until  $g_n$  is a bit lower than 1; next, append 10's until  $g_n$  is a bit higher than 1; repeat.

#### 

We know that each phase of adding 0's will eventually end, because otherwise w would be equicaudal to 000... and thus  $g_n$  would go to 0. Similarly, we know that each phase of adding 10's will eventually end, because otherwise w would be equicaudal to 010101... and thus  $g_n$  would go to infinity.

**Theorem** (Omar & T. 2023+): For every  $L \in \left[0, 1/\sqrt[3]{3}\right]$ , there exists an infinite word *w* such that  $\operatorname{gr} p_n(w) = L$ .

We have not yet tried to prove this using a similar technique as for  $d_n(w)$ , but it will probably work. Our proof goes a different route.

- I. Introduction (done)
- II. The growth rate of a descent word or peak word
- **III.** Other results and further questions

► Recall that every L ∈ [0, 2/π] is a growth rate of a descent word. This implies that the set

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{d_n(w)}{n!}\right)^{1/n} : w \text{ a binary word, } n \ge 0 \right\}$$

is dense in  $[0, 2/\pi]$ .

But there is a more direct way to see this:

**Theorem** (Omar & T. 2023+): For every  $L \in [0, 2/\pi]$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $a, b \ge 0$  such that

$$\left(\frac{d_n(0^{\alpha}(10)^{b})}{n!}\right)^{1/n} - L < \varepsilon.$$

**Theorem** (Omar & T. 2023+): For every  $L \in [0, 2/\pi]$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $a, b \ge 0$  such that

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}_{n}(0^{\mathfrak{a}}(10)^{\mathfrak{b}})}{\mathfrak{n}!}\right)^{1/\mathfrak{n}}-\mathsf{L}\right|<\varepsilon.$$

**Theorem** (Omar & T. 2023+): For every  $L \in [0, 2/\pi]$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a, b  $\geq 0$  such that

$$\left(\frac{d_n(0^{\alpha}(10)^{b})}{n!}\right)^{1/n} - L < \epsilon.$$

That is a corollary of the following:

**Theorem** (Omar & T. 2023+): For every  $L \in [0, 2/\pi]$ , there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that

 $\operatorname{gr} d_{n}(0^{\alpha}(10)^{b}) = L,$ where  $\alpha = \left| \frac{\gamma n}{\log(n)} \right| [+1]$  and  $b = \frac{n-1-\alpha}{2}.$ 

The proof is a fun application of Stirling's approximation.

**Theorem** (Omar & T. 2023+): For every  $L \in [0, 2/\pi]$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a, b  $\geq 0$  such that

$$\left(\frac{d_n(0^{\alpha}(10)^{b})}{n!}\right)^{1/n} - L < \epsilon.$$

That is a corollary of the following:

**Theorem** (Omar & T. 2023+): For every  $L \in [0, 2/\pi]$ , there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that

 $\operatorname{gr} d_{n}(0^{\alpha}(10)^{b}) = L,$ where  $\alpha = \left| \frac{\gamma n}{\log(n)} \right| [+1]$  and  $b = \frac{n-1-\alpha}{2}$ .

- The proof is a fun application of Stirling's approximation.
- Differs from our result that every L is a growth rate of some infinite word w, because  $0^{\alpha}(10)^{b}$  is not of the form w[n-1] for one infinite word w — both a and b grow.

Similar results hold for peak words, using words of the form  $0^{\alpha}(100)^{b}$ .

Now suppose *w* is chosen uniformly at random.

**Theorem** (Elizalde & T. 2019):  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d_n(w)}{(n/2)\lfloor n/2 \rfloor!} = \infty \text{ almost surely.}$ 

Now suppose *w* is chosen uniformly at random.

**Theorem** (Elizalde & T. 2019):  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{d_n(w)}{(n/2)\lfloor n/2 \rfloor!} = \infty \text{ almost surely.}$ 

**Conjecture** (Omar & T. 2023+): gr  $d_n(w) > 0$  almost surely.

A stronger statement would be that gr d<sub>n</sub>(w) > 0 for every w with infinitely many 0's and infinitely many 1's, but that is false: we can construct w to have sparse enough 0's that gr d<sub>n</sub>(w) = 0.

Now suppose *w* is chosen uniformly at random.

**Theorem** (Elizalde & T. 2019):  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{d_n(w)}{(n/2)\lfloor n/2 \rfloor!} = \infty \text{ almost surely.}$ 

**Conjecture** (Omar & T. 2023+): gr  $d_n(w) > 0$  almost surely.

A stronger statement would be that gr d<sub>n</sub>(w) > 0 for every w with infinitely many 0's and infinitely many 1's, but that is false: we can construct w to have sparse enough 0's that gr d<sub>n</sub>(w) = 0.

**Conjecture** (me while I was preparing this talk): gr  $d_n(w) = 1/2$  almost surely.